GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904.

(III - Police.)

File No. 47 of 1901-05.

Serial Nos. — —

Papers Filed.

Month October 1901.

SUBJECT.

Status of Deputy Commissioner, as head of the District Police, and the extent to which he is subordinate to the Inspector General of Police.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
as 203 of the same file.	

G.R.S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 47 of 04-05

FILE HEADING.

The status of Deputy Commissioners as Head of Dt Police, and the extent to which they are subordinate to the D.G. of Police.

From

The Deputy Comr., Tumkur Dt.

No. 241 Dated 1-10-04. Received 8-10-04.

Diary No. 1733.	Enclos. 5+	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for the information of Govt, copies of further correspondence between himself and the Inspector General on the subject of the investigation of the Nonavinakere dacoity

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd.

Orders have been issued. The present letter may be filed.

LW 14-10-04.

Yes file, (especially as these enclosures were already before Govt.)

Sd/ K.S.G. 14-10-04.

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—5,000—15-6-04

No 2441 - Ques 5.

J 733.

File No. 47 of 1904

Deputy Commissioner's Office Tumkur Dt.

Dated Tumkur 1st October 1904.

From

K.Doraswami Iyer Esq., Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

B.K.Venkatavaradiengar Esq., Secretary to Government,
General & Revenue Departments.

BANGALORE.

Sir,

In continuation of my letters numbered 133 and 146 dated the 22nd and 24th of August last respectively, I have the honor to send herewith copies of some further correspondence with the Inspector General of Police in the matter of the investigation of the dacoity at Nonavinkere.

2. A copy of my letter J.No.106 of the 19th August to the I.G.P., explaining that the Superintendent was detained here in expectation of Chenna's arrival from the Mysore jail was submitted to Government along with other papers with my letter No 133 of the 22nd August.

3. A copy of the Inspector General's second wire to the Superintendent of Police which had the effect of taking him away from Tumkur at a time when he had other orders in his capacity of a District Plague Officer was submitted with my No. 146 of the 24th August.

4. The copies sent with this letter are of correspondence subsequent to my letter of the 24th August. The submission of these papers got delayed from press of work and from a disinclination to inflict upon Government more of a matter that had unfortunately taken a personal turn. I am however sending them on as it is best that all the correspondence is before Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Doraswamy

Deputy Commissioner.

1904-05

From Asst Deputy Commr
Thurlis District

To Asst Commr Eng to the Govt of
Mysore Coorg and Resident
in Mysore

Dated 1st
Despatched Do } October
Received 1904.
General No.

No. 241

Enclosure No. 5 / five.

Submg further Correspondence re the Kere Decoity Case with certain observations.

No.1159/56.

Office of the Inspector General of Police

Dated Bangalore 23rd August 1904.

From

F.E.C.Carr Esq., Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

K.Doraswami Iyer Esq., Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt.

Sir,

Reference.

Your letter J.No.106 of the 19th instant re Honavinkere dacoity.

I decided, on receiving the first information report of the case of dacoity under reference, that the case was an exceedingly serious and important one calling for the presence of the Superintendent of Police at the scene of crime. I therefore wired to the Superintendent directing him to proceed to the spot immediately. I see no reason whatever to change this opinion, and must request you to kindly direct the Superintendent to go out at once and investigate the case in person sending in a full report without further delay. I note that the first intelligence was received at District Head Quarters at 3.30 P.M. of the 15th instant, that Mr.Haudin himself signed the first information report on the 15th or 16th, but gives no explanation for not having gone out to the scene of crime himself, although he is perfectly well aware that he is expected to do so- under circular Memo No. 6332/24 dated 19th April 1904.

2. As Mr.Haudin's time appears to be so fully occupied - that he is unable even to investigate a serious case of dacoity, it is obvious that he has no time to go on leave, and I therefore have requested Government to refuse sanction to two day's casual leave from the 26th instant asked for by him.

3. Again, seeing that Mr.Haudin will be so fully engaged for some time to come, in the following up of important clues

in

in the Gubbi Murder case, in the investigation of the Honavinkere dacoity case, and in other pressing work of the District, I think it would be inadvisable for him to attend the Police Athletic Meeting and Police Conference early next month. May I ask you to very kindly inform him therefor that his attendance in Bangalore for the above Meeting will not be required.

I have &c.,

Sd. F.C.Carr

Inspector General of Police.

"Copy"

No.1306/68.

Office of the Inspector General of Police
in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore 1st September 1904.

From

F.E.C.Carr Esq., Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

K.Doraswami Iyer Esq., Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Sir,

Adverting to my letter of the 23rd instant, may I venture to remind you, that up to date I have received no report whatever, of what steps your Superintendent of Police has taken in the Honavinkere dacoity case; or whether he has gone to the scene of crime to carry out the investigation himself, as I directed him to do, in the letter under reference. May I request the favor of an early reply, as I intend sending in a full report of the case to Government.

2. I would also request you to kindly direct your Superintendent to inform me officially, through you, whether he visited Bangalore on the 13th or 14th instant: and if he did so, under whose orders he left his District, for what purpose, and why he has not reported the same to my office.

I have &c.,

Sd. H.Subba Rao

For Inspector General of Police.

"Copy"

K Doraswamy

J No.126.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Dated Tumkur 3rd September 1904.

From

K.Doraswami Iyer Esq., Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

F.E.C.Carr Esq., Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter No.1306-68 of the 1st current and its predecessor of the 23rd ultimo.

Curiously enough I decided upon the information reaching me that the case was one of easy detection. I knew that Woddars had encamped there and that some of them had engaged themselves as coolies and I inferred naturally that the offenders would be found among the latter. The fact that they had smeared their faces with ashes showed that they were known men who wished to avoid recognition.

Referring to standing Police orders I would draw your attention to (1) Inspector General of Police'circular of the 14th May 1887, No.434-29.

(2) No.605-81 dated the 3rd June 1887.

(3) No.1308-7 dated 11th October 1900.

(4) No 2050-78 dated 10th November 1891.

You will notice that the circular of the 14th May 1887 was quickly followed by another on the 3rd June 1887 by way of interpretation. Yours of the 19th April last numbered 6332/24 leaves out Amildars entirely and would seem to be on the same footing as the circular of the 14th May 1887.

It is not necessary for me now to refer to your second wire to the Superintendent in deference to which he left Tumkur at a time when I was elsewhere and when he had distinct instructions to attend to the disinfection of some houses in the Bazaar street where dead rats had been found. A death from plague had occurred also. The Vice President was new to the working of our Plague Preventive Measures and

the

the Amildar was new to the Taluk and the Superintendent of Police had therefore to remain here. He is also the District Plague Officer under Government orders and of that you are no doubt aware.

Referring to your present letter, I have the honor to state that the Superintendent went to Honavinkere on the 24th ultimo and reported full particulars of the dacoity and its investigation in his diary for the week ended 27th ultimo. The diary reached you on the 31st ultimo and was returned on the 1st current and arrived here this morning by the same post as your letter under reply. I was not aware that you intended sending a full report of the case to Government. I shall call upon the Superintendent for a full narrative of the case.

x sent herewith

I saw on another diary of the Superintendent's your remark that he had gone to Bangalore on the 13th ultimo. It was news to me and if true a serious matter. I have sent back the diary to you with his replies. I have now to inform you that the Superintendent says that he did not go to Bangalore on the 13th or 14th, and to recommend therefore a careful investigation of the information. I would deem it a great favor to be informed of the result.

I have &c.,

Sd. K.Doraswami Iyer

Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"

K Doraswami Iyer

Office of the Inspector General of Police

No.1347/69.

Dated Bangalore 2/3d September 1904.

From

F.E.C.Carr Esq., Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

K.S.Doraswami Iyer Esq., Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt.

Sir,

On the 18th August last according to the weekly report of your Superintendent of Police Mr.Haudin, one Chenna was produced before the 1st class Magistrate Mr.Srinivasa Rao of Tumkur for his statement to be recorded under section 164, Criminal Procedure Code.

2. I am given to understand from your former confidential communications to me with regard to this same Chenna, that his (Chenna's) evidence or confession is a most important factor in the unravelling of the mystery surrounding the now famous Gubbi murder case. Such being the case, it seems somewhat extraordinary that no report either official or confidential has reached me, to date, from Mr.Haudin giving me a resume of Chenna's, Kolar Ranganna's, or Annasami's statements, before the Magistrate.

3. All Mr.Haudin kindly deigns to say in his weekly report ending August 20th is that "he concluded the examination of Chenna and took up that of the others" on the 19th. Whether these statements tallied with those before recorded, he does not mention.

4. I have the honor to request you to be so kind as to direct your Superintendent Mr.Haudin to send me the full statements of these persons at his earliest convenience, and to point out to him that it was his duty to keep me fully informed of all details of the inquiry.

I have &c.,

Sd. F.C.Carr

Inspector General of Police.

"Copy"

J.No.127

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur 5th September 1904.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.1347/69 of the 2/3d instant I have the honor to inform you that the investigation into the matter of certain property said to be connected with the Gubbi murders is not in the hands of the Superintendent of of Police. The Assistant Commissioner Magistrate is enquiring into it. No statements were recorded by the Superintendent and so he could send you none. The enquiry by the Magistrate is preliminary to deciding upon future action and is not closed yet and pending its completion and the Magistrate's decision I have not written to you. In my letter No.702 dated 29th May 1904 will be found the reasons for which the matter was put in the hands of the Assistant Commissioner Magistrate in preference to the Superintendent.

I have &c.,

Sd. K.Doraswami Iyer

Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"